LAW OFFICES OF

KNOPF & BROWN

401 EAST JEFFERSON STREET SUITE 206 ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND 20850

(301) 545-6100

FAX: (301) 545-6103
E-MAIL BROWN@KNOPF-BROWN.COM

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL (301) 545-6105

DAVID W. BROWN

SOLE PRACTITIONER (2017)

March 9, 2020

Frederick L. Hill, Chairperson Board of Zoning Adjustment 441 4th Street, NW Suite 200S Washington, DC 20010

Re: Appeal of Building Permit B1908601

BZA Case No. 20183 -- 2500 14th Street, N.W.

Response to Opposition to Request to Reopen Record

Dear Chairperson Hill and Members of the Board:

Appellant, the Residences of Columbia Heights, a Condominium ("RCH") hereby submits a brief reply to the DGS Response to RCH's Motion to Reopen the Record. RCH disagrees with DGS's claim that RCH ignored the need to demonstrate to the Board good cause for reopening the record and lack of prejudice to other parties.

First, as to the filing by three individual members of ANC1B, because it was filed after the deadline and without the required copies sent to parties in the hearing, RCH had no opportunity prior to the closing of the record to point out to the Board its manifest deficiencies as a purported ANC Report. As was made clear in its Motion, RCH is not seeking expungement of the filing, and RCH has ample good cause to be given a subsequent opportunity to point out its limitations. If the points made by RCH are correct, registering them with the Board will not prejudice any party. While RCH did not explicitly mention the term "good cause" in its Motion, RCH expressly relied upon Y-602.6 and has, in substance, met the good cause standard.

Second, as to the other matters addressed in the Motion, all of them constitute efforts to remediate RCH's lack of opportunity, through no fault of its own, to cross-examine adverse witnesses on substantive matters, as detailed in the Motion. Considerations of due process and the Board's interest in obtaining a full and complete record before proceeding to decide this case provide RCH ample good cause to rectify the problems encountered, and submission of rebuttal comments, as opposed to a reconvening of the evidentiary hearing, is the least disruptive way of doing so. RCH submits that granting the Motion as to these cross-examination matters will not be prejudicial to any party. It appears that DGS thinks otherwise, and has requested the opportunity to file Certificates of Occupancy if the record is reopened. While RCH regards such documents

Frederick L. Hill, Chairperson and Members of the Board Board of Zoning Adjustment March 7, 2020 Page 2

as more supportive of RCH's claims in this case than DGS's claims, RCH does not oppose DGS's request if it is to serve as a response to the grant of RCH's Motion by the Board.

Very truly yours,

David W. Brown

Attorney for Appellant

cc: See Certificate of Service

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

IT IS CERTIFIED that this 9th day of March 2020, two paper copies of the Appellants' Response to Opposition to Request to Reopen Record were mailed, first class, postage prepaid to the Office of Zoning; and were served upon the following via electronic mail:

Meridith H. Moldenhauer, Esq. Cozen O'Connor mmoldenhauer@cozen.com (Attorney for DGS, Intervenor)

Hugh Green
Department of Consumer and Regulatory Affairs

<u>Hugh.green@dc.gov</u>

(Attorney for DCRA, Appellee)

Advisory Neighborhood Commission 1B

1B@anc.dc.gov

(ANC Area Representative)

Jennifer Bristol, SMD Commissioner ANC 1B06 1B06@anc.dc.gov (Single District ANC Representative)

David W. Brown